CM/ECF - U.S. District Court Northern District of Alabama - Docket Report

Page 1 of 4

STAY, TRANSFERRED MDL

U.S. District Court Northern District of Alabama (Western) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 7:07-cv-00045-HGD

Dunlap v. Pfizer Inc. et al

Assigned to: Magistrate-Judge Harwell G Davis, III

Cause: 28:1441 Petition for Removal- Product Liability

Date Filed: 01/05/2007 Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 365 Personal Inj. Prod.

Liability

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Sandra S. Dunlap

as the Administratrix of the Estates of Thomas Dudley Dunlap

represented by Gerald B Taylor, Jr

BEASLEY ALLEN CROW METHVIN PORTIS & MILES PC P.O. Box 4160 Montgomery, AL 36103-4160 205-269-2343 Fax: 334-954-7955 Email: jerry.taylor@beasleyallen.com

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jere L Beasley

BEASLEY ALLEN CROW METHVIN PORTIS & MILES PC PO Box 4160 Montgomery, AL 36103-4160 1-334-269-2343 Email: jere.beasley@beasleyallen.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Navan Ward, Jr

BEASLEY ALLEN CROW METHVIN PORTIS & MILES PC 234 Commerce Street PO Box 4160 Montgomery, AL 36103-4160 334-269-2343 Fax: 334-954-7555 Email: navan.ward@beasleyallen.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

CM/ECF - U.S. District Court Northern District of Alabama - Docket Report

Page 2 of 4

Defendant

Pfizer Inc.

a Delaware Corporation

represented by Lawrence B Clark

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN. CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ Suite 1600 Wachovia Tower 420 20th Street North Birmingham, AL 35203

205-328-0480 Fax: 205-322-8007

Email: lclark@bakerdonelson.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael J Asbell

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ PC SouthTrust Tower, Suite 1600 420 North 20th Street Birmingham, AL 35203-5202

205-244-3811

Fax: 205-488-3811

Email: jasbell@bakerdonelson.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Pharmacia Corporation

a Delaware Corporation

represented by Lawrence B Clark

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael J Asbell

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Monsanto Company

a Delaware Corporation

represented by Lawrence B Clark

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael J Asbell

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

G.D. Searle, LLC

represented by Lawrence B Clark

Page 3 of 4

a Delaware Corporation

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael J Asbell (See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Bryan G. Robinson

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
01/05/2007	1	NOTICE OF REMOVAL by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc., from Circuit Court of Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, case number 63-CV-06-900022. (Filing fee \$ 350, receipt number: 200 232639) filed by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Notice of Removal part 2)(AHI) (Entered: 01/09/2007)
01/05/2007	2	NOTICE of Certificate of Filing Notice of Removal by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc. (AHI) (Entered: 01/09/2007)
01/05/2007	<u>3</u>	ANSWER to Complaint by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc.(AHI) (Entered: 01/09/2007)
01/08/2007	<u>4</u>	NOTICE of Corporate Disclosure by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc. (AHI) (Entered: 01/09/2007)
01/08/2007	<u>5</u>	MOTION to Stay all proceedings pending transfer to MDL by Pharmacia Corporation, Monsanto Company, G.D. Searle, LLC, Pfizer Inc (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A# 2 Exhibit B# 3 Exhibit C# 4 Exhibit D) (AHI) (Entered: 01/09/2007)
01/10/2007		ORDER granting 5 Motion to Stay. Signed by Judge Harwell G Davis III on 1/10/07. (LBG,) (Entered: 01/10/2007)
03/02/2007	<u>6</u>	ORDER Transferring Case to MDL: ORDER of Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferring this case to the Northern Distict of California for inclusion in MDL 05-1699; certified copy of docket entries and documents requested by transferee clerk emailed to Clerk of Court in District of Northern Distict of California. (MNH) (Entered: 03/02/2007)
03/02/2007	7	NOTICE of transmittal letter to the Northern District of California. Copies of transfer order, docket sheet and files emailed as requested to be included in MDL. (MNH) (Entered: 03/02/2007)

Document 3-5 Filed 09/04/2007 Page 4 of 14

· CM/ECF - U.S. District Court Northern District of Alabama - Docket Report

Case 3:07-cv-04550-CRB

Page 4 of 4

	PACER	Service Cente	er
	Transa	ction Receipt	
	07/05/	2007 15:37:47	
PACER Login:	sp0413	Client Code:	085-0211
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	7:07-cv-00045-HGD
Billable Pages:	2	Cost:	0.16

Case 2:06-cv-00349-MEF-VPM

Document 18

Filed 05/26/2006

Page 1 of 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

HENRY C. MORRIS,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) C	ASE NO. 2:06-cv-349-MEF
PFIZER, INC., et al.,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Upon consideration of the plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Ruling on Motion to Remand (Doc. #16) filed on May 25, 2006, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.

DONE this 26th day of May, 2006.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:05-cv-00841-MEF-SRW

Document 20

Filed 12/05/2005

Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

OZZIE JACKSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) CASE NO. 2:05-0	v-841-F
PFIZER, INC., et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings

Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) filed on September 26,

2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion.

It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
- A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 8) filed on September 7,
 2005, is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict
 Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
 DONE, this 5th day of December, 2005.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker
SUSAN RUSS WALKER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

ROSE M. NELSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) Case No.: 2:05-cv	/-832-F
PFIZER, INC., et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings

Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 16) filed on September 26,

2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion.

It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 16) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
- A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 8) filed on September 7,
 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict
 Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
 DONE this 20th day of October, 2005.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller	
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JU	DGE

Case 2:05-cv-00824-MEF-SRW

Document 23

Filed 11/15/2005

Page 1 of 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

KATIE THOMAS,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.) CA	ASE NO. 2:05-cv-824-F
PFIZER, INC., et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings

Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) filed on September 26,

2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion.

It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
- 2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 7) filed on September 7, 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

DONE this 15th day of November, 2005.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:06-cv-00431-MEF-VPM Document 7 Filed 05/23/2006 Page 1 of 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

DONALD L. MCGRADY,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No.: 2:06-cv-431-MEF
PFIZER, INC., et. al., Defendants.)))	
	·	

<u>ORD</u>ER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 5) filed on May 22, 2006. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

DONE this 23rd day of May, 2006.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:05-cv-00941-MEF-VPM

Document 14

Filed 11/21/2005

Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

WILLIAM RANDOLPH HALL, SR., As the Administrator of the Estate of WILLIAM RANDOLPH HALL, JR.,)))	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:05-cv-941-F
PFIZER, INC., et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings

Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 9) filed on 21 October 2005.

The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 9) is GRANTED. This cause is STAYED pending MDL transfer to In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1699.
- 2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. #7) filed on 7 October 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
- 3. Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Reply (Doc. # 12) is DENIED as

Case 2:05-cv-00941-MEF-VPM Document 14 Filed 11/21/2005 Page 2 of 2

MOOT.

DONE this 21ST day of November, 2005.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Case 2:05-cv-00542-M Document 17 Filed 11/17/2005 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

ANN BEVERLY, etc.,

Plaintiff,

vs. : CIVIL ACTION 05-0542-M

PFIZER INC., et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

The Court heard from counsel this date by telephone on the Notice of Parties' Planning Meeting (Doc. 15) and the status of this action. It appears that this action will be transferred as a tag-along action to the Northern District of California within the next several days, to become a part of MDL-1699, In re Bextra and Cellebrex Marketing, Sales, Practices and Products Liability Litigation. Therefore, Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. 7) is GRANTED.

Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited, Emergency Ruling (Doc. 10) is MOOT. Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Remand and Motion for Sanctions (Doc. 9), with supporting brief (Doc. 11), and Defendants' Reply Brief (Doc. 13) will be considered if and when this action is remanded to this District.

The fictitious parties set out in the complaint are

hereby STRICKEN since fictitious party pleading is not generally recognized under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See e.g., Fed.R.Civ.P. 10(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); Rommell v. Automobile Racing Club of America, Inc., 964 F.2d 1090, 1098 n.14 (11th Cir. 1992); Weeks v. Benton, 649 F.Supp. 1297, 1298 (S.D. Ala. 1986).

Counsel for the parties are to ensure that all future filings contain the correct and proper names of the corporate Defendants as more fully set out in Defendants' Answer (Doc. 2).

DONE this 17th day of November, 2005.

s/BERT W. MILLING, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE